Sleeping experts and Expert Engineering

Yoav Freund

January 28, 2014
Outline

Sleeping Experts
  Log Loss
  General Loss

applications of specialists
  Variable Length Markov Models
  Switching Experts
  Text Classification

Tracking
Specialists

- Also called sleeping experts
- The basic idea: specialists can associate a *confidence* with their predictions.
- Master’s prediction depends more on the confident predictions.
- The weight of confident experts is changed more than that of unconfident ones.
The specialists protocol

1. The adversary chooses a set $E_t \subseteq \{1, \ldots, N\}$ of specialists that are awake at iteration $t$.
2. The adversary chooses a prediction $x_{t,i}$ for each awake specialist $i \in E_t$.
3. The algorithm chooses its own prediction $\hat{y}_t$.
4. The adversary chooses an outcome $y_t$.
5. The algorithm suffers loss $\ell^t_A = L(\hat{y}_t, y_t)$ and each of the awake specialists suffers loss $\ell^t_i = L(x_{t,i}, y_t)$. Specialists that are asleep suffer no loss.
Log Loss

- Log loss is the simplest case

\[ L(\hat{y}, y) = \begin{cases} -\ln \hat{y} & \text{if } y = 1 \\ -\ln(1 - \hat{y}) & \text{if } y = 0. \end{cases} \]
The standard Bayes algorithm (normalized weights)

**Do for** $t = 1, 2, \ldots, T$

1. **Predict with the weighted average of the experts predictions:**
   \[
   \hat{y}_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{t,i} x_{t,i}
   \]

2. **Observe outcome** $y_t$

3. **Calculate a new posterior distribution:**
   \[
   p_{t+1,i} = \begin{cases} 
   \frac{p_{t,i} x_{t,i}}{\hat{y}_t} & \text{if } y_t = 1 \\
   \frac{p_{t,i}(1 - x_{t,i})}{1 - \hat{y}_t} & \text{if } y_t = 0.
   \end{cases}
   \]
Bayes for Specialists

**Do for** $t = 1, 2, \ldots, T$

1. Predict with the weighted average of the predictions of the awake specialists:

$$\hat{y}_t = \frac{\sum_{i \in E_t} p_{t,i} x_{t,i}}{\sum_{i \in E_t} p_{t,i}}$$

2. Observe outcome $y_t$

3. Calculate a new posterior distribution:

   If $i \in E_t$ then

   $$p_{t+1,i} = \begin{cases} 
   p_{t,i} x_{t,i} & \text{if } y_t = 1 \\
   \frac{\hat{y}_t}{p_{t,i}(1 - x_{t,i})} & \text{if } y_t = 0.
   \end{cases}$$

   Otherwise: $p_{t+1,i} = p_{t,i}$
Bound on Bayes for Specialists

**Theorem**

*For any sequence of awake specialists, specialist predictions and outcomes and for any distribution $\mathbf{u}$ over $\{1, \ldots, N\}$, the loss of $\text{SBayes}$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} u(E_t)L(\hat{y}_t, y_t) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i \in E_t} u_i L(x_{t,i}, y_t) + \text{RE} (\mathbf{u} \parallel \mathbf{p}_1).$$

*Where*

$$u(E_t) = \sum_{i \in E_t} u_i.$$
Proof of Theorem

- for each step:

\[
\text{RE}(u \parallel p_t) - \text{RE}(u \parallel p_{t+1}) = u(E_t)L(\hat{y}_t, y_t) - \sum_{i \in E_t} u_i L(x_{t,i}, y_t).
\]

- Summing over \( t = 1, \ldots, T \) and using that relative entropy is always positive:

\[
\text{RE}(u \parallel p_1) \geq \text{RE}(u \parallel p_1) - \text{RE}(u \parallel p_{T+1}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} u(E_t)L(\hat{y}_t, y_t) - \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i \in E_t} u_i L(x_{t,i}, y_t).
\]
Using general loss functions

We focus on algorithms which, like Bayes, maintain a distribution vector $\mathbf{p}_t \in \Delta_N$. Such algorithms are defined by two functions:

1. 

$$\text{pred} : \Delta_N \times [0, 1]^N \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

which maps the current weight vector $\mathbf{p}_t$ and instance $\mathbf{x}_t$ to a prediction $\hat{y}_t$; and

2. 

$$\text{update} : \Delta_N \times [0, 1]^N \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Delta_N$$

which maps the current weight vector $\mathbf{p}_t$, instance $\mathbf{x}_t$ and outcome $y_t$ to a new weight vector $\mathbf{p}_{t+1}$.
Generic Insomniac Algorithm

**Do for** $t = 1, 2, \ldots, T$

1. Observe $x_t$
2. Predict $\hat{y}_t = \text{pred}(p_t, x_t)$
3. Observe outcome $y_t$ and suffer loss $L(\hat{y}_t, y_t)$
4. Calculate the new weight vector $p_{t+1} = \text{update}(p_t, x_t, y_t)$
Generic Specialist Algorithm

**Do for** $t = 1, 2, \ldots, T$

1. Observe $E_t$ and $x_{t}^{E_t}$.
2. Predict $\hat{y}_t = \text{pred}(p_{t}^{E_t}, x_{t}^{E_t})$
3. Observe outcome $y_t$ and suffer loss $L(\hat{y}_t, y_t)$.
4. Calculate the new weight vector $p_{t+1}$ so that it satisfies the following:
   4.1 $p_{t+1,i} = p_{t,i}$ for $i \notin E_t$
   4.2 $p_{t+1}^{E_t} = \frac{1}{Z_t} \text{update}(p_{t}^{E_t}, x_{t}^{E_t}, y_t)$
   4.3 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{t+1,i} = 1$
   4.4 or Equivalently: $\sum_{i \in E_t} p_{t+1,i} = \sum_{i \in E_t} p_{t,i}$
Comparison cumulative losses for specialists

- Comparison to average loss.

\[
\min_{\mathbf{u} \in \Delta_N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} L_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}_t, y_t) \quad \text{where} \quad L_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}_t, y_t) = \frac{\sum_{i \in E_t} u_i L(x_{t,i}, y_t)}{\sum_{i \in E_t} u_i}.
\]

- Comparison to average prediction.

\[
\min_{\mathbf{u} \in \Delta_N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} L_{\mathbf{u}}^{\prime}(\mathbf{x}_t, y_t) \quad \text{where} \quad L_{\mathbf{u}}^{\prime}(\mathbf{x}_t, y_t) = L\left(\frac{\sum_{i \in E_t} u_i x_{t,i}}{\sum_{i \in E_t} u_i}, y_t\right).
\]
Analysis using relative entropy

- Log-Loss / Bayes

\[
\text{RE}(\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{p}_t) - \text{RE}(\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{p}_{t+1}) = L(\hat{y}_t, y_t) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i L(x_{t,i}, y_t).
\]

- General Vovk-style algorithm:

\[
c(\text{RE}(\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{p}_t) - \text{RE}(\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{p}_{t+1})) \geq L(\hat{y}_t, y_t) - aL_{\mathbf{u}}(x_t, y_t).
\]

Where \( L \) is \((a, c)\)-achievable (Using Vovk with \( \eta = a/c \))
Bound for general loss sleeping experts

For any achievable \((a, c)\)

\[
\sum_{t=1}^{T} u(E_t)L(\hat{y}_t, y_t) \leq a \sum_{t=1}^{T} u(E_t)L_{uE_t}(x_t^{E_t}, y_t) + c\text{RE}(u \parallel p_1). 
\]

Where

\[
u(E_t) \doteq \sum_{i \in E_t} u_i
\]

\textbf{SBayes} satisfies

\[
\sum_{t=1}^{T} u(E_t)L(\hat{y}_t, y_t) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i \in E_t} u_iL(x_{t,i}, y_t) + \text{RE}(u \parallel p_1). 
\]
Markov Model of order 4

In each leaf node we estimate $P(x_t | x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, x_{t-3}, x_{t-4})$
Variable Length Markov Model

\[ x_t = ? \]

\[ \begin{align*}
    x_{t-1} &= 1 \\
    x_{t-2} &= 1 \\
    x_{t-3} &= 1 \\
    x_{t-4} &= 1
\end{align*} \]

- In each leaf node we estimate \( P(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \ldots) \)
- A VMM for each prefix-free subtree
- An expert for each subtree
  \(=\) An exponential number of experts
Each node corresponds to a specialist
Each specialist estimates $P(x_t \mid x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, x_{t-3}, x_{t-4})$
Number of specialists = number of nodes
At each time $t$, 4 specialists are awake.
Example: 1,1,0,1,?
Switching experts

Base Experts

Combined Expert:

Low-Level specialists: \((t_1 < t_2)\) for each base expert

Actual algorithm maintains one weight per base expert (color), same as summing over all low-level specialists
Switching within a small set of experts

Actual algorithm maintains one weight per base expert (color),
Same as summing over all low-level specialists
The Text Classification Problem

Context-Sensitive Learning Methods for Text Categorization / Cohen and Singer 1999

To classify a new document \( d \) using this pool, one first finds all sparse \( n \)-grams appearing in the document, and then computes the weights of the corresponding miniexperts. For instance, in classifying the documents “prayers said for soldiers killed in IRA bombing” and “taxi driver killed by IRA” the relevant set of phrases would include “killed by IRA” and “bombing”. The documents above are classified correctly; among the miniexperts associated with these phrases, the total weight of the miniexperts predicting \( d \in \text{ireland} \) is larger than the total weight of the miniexperts predicting \( d \notin \text{ireland} \).
Using Specialists for text classification

Table I. Experts with Large Weights for the Category "ireland"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phrase</th>
<th>Log-Weight</th>
<th>Number of Occurrences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£ ireland</td>
<td>£ ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>belfast</td>
<td>-7.19</td>
<td>12.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>haughey</td>
<td>-6.35</td>
<td>11.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ira says</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>10.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>northern ireland</td>
<td>-7.20</td>
<td>10.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catholic man</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>6.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ulster</td>
<td>-3.98</td>
<td>5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>killed ? ira</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protestant extremists claim</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>4.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moderate catholic</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ira supporters</td>
<td>-3.20</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sinn fein</td>
<td>-3.52</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>west belfast</td>
<td>-5.90</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dynamics using Kalman Filters

Too many resources to list.

The Kalman filter keeps track of the estimated state of the system and the variance or uncertainty of the estimate. The estimate is updated using a state transition model and measurements. $\hat{x}_{k|k-1}$ denotes the estimate of the system's state at time step $k$ before the $k$-th measurement $y_k$ has been taken into account; $P_{k|k-1}$ is the corresponding uncertainty.
Dynamics using Particle Filters

The unscented particle filter / R. Van Der Merwe, A. Doucet, N. De Freitas, E. Wan

Figure 2. The flow of particles to initial distribution to the predict stage into update stage and resample stage, back to the predict stage till all the samples are exhausted.
Specialists for dynamics

- Tracking for interaction.
- Handwriting recognition (Sunsern)
Experts for appearance modeling

- Templates - sample image patch and compare to future patches.
- Identify location of object using a boosted combination of low-level features. (Online Boosting)
- Specialists: tracking the best appearance model.
- Within a small set: assuming that old appearances will recur.
Confidence

- Can we quantify the confidence we have in our prediction?
- If there is a set of awake specialists that have a large weight and make similar predictions.
- In Kalman filters: covariance of the posterior distribution.
Co-Training

- When tracking, we have no ground truth - how can we train our models?
- Co-training: Train in proportion to confidence
- When Dynamics is confident: use it to train appearance.
- When appearance is confident: use it to train dynamics.
- Specialists can correspond to using different features, different image resolutions etc.